Predicting the past with deep neural networks

Yannis Assael*, Thea Sommerschield*, Brendan Shillingford, Mahyar Bordbar, John Pavlopoulos, Marita Chatzipanagiotou, Ion Androutsopoulos, Jonathan Prag, Nando de Freitas



2 July 2024 - HIAS

ὄλβιος ὅστις τῆς ἱστορίας ἔσχε μάθησιν Happy the man who has gained knowledge through history

- Euripides (c. 480-406 BCE), Greek tragedian *Antiope,* uncertain fragment

ancient history

The setting

- Ancient History relies on disciplines such as Epigraphy, the study of inscribed texts, for evidence of the recorded past.
- These texts are known as "inscriptions".
- They offer firsthand evidence for the thought, language, society and history of ancient civilisations.
- Thousands of inscriptions have survived to our time. However, their study is far from straightforward.



Damaged decree concerning the Acropolis of Athens (485/4 BCE). *IG* I³ 4B. (CC BY-SA 3.0, WikiMedia)

the historian's workflow



These are time-consuming and highly complex tasks; traditional methods are inefficient.



AI for ancient history



Restored decree concerning the Acropolis of Athens (485/4 BCE). $IG I^3$ 4B. (CC BY–SA 3.0, WikiMedia)



dataset

I.PHI dataset

- Based on the Packard Humanities Institute's database.
- Filter human annotations, render the text and metadata machine-actionable.
- First multi-task dataset for Epigraphy with 70k inscriptions.
- Consists of tuples of:
 - Corrupted text
 - Geographical metadata (ancient region)
 - Chronological metadata (date range)

Split	Inscriptions	Characters	Words		
Training	63,014	19,559k	2,915k		
Validation	7,783	2,503k	373k		
Test	7,811	2,416k	360k		

Statistics for the I.PHI corpus.

data generation

Inscription ID

Geographical metadata

- 84 regions
- Based on IG

	IG II ² 65 - PHI Greek Inscription × +
	- 🛆 - 🔒 inscriptions.packhum.org/t
	Regions: Attica (IG I-III) : Attica
	IG II ² 65 \leftarrow IG II ² 64 IG II ² 66 \rightarrow
	Att. — non-stoich. — init. s. IV a. See also: IG I ³ 227.
1 4 5	[Προξενία καὶ εὐεργεσία] [Ά]θηναίω[ν — — — καὶ] [ἐκγόν]οις τοῖς τ[ούτου]. {²vacat 0.03}² [Χαρικλ?]είδο Γ[— —] [προξέ]νο καὶ [εὐεργέτο] [Ἐρχο]μενίο.

https://inscriptions.packhum.org/text/2283

data generation

Inscription ID

Geographical metadata

- 84 regions

Chronological metadata

- referring to historical eras & intervals in several languages
- lacking in standardized notation
 ("early", "first half", "1st half", "beginning", "beg.")
- fuzzy wording ("late 7th/6th c. BC", "ca. 100 a.?", "bef. 64 AD")

•••	
-	- 👌 - 🔒 inscriptions.packhum.org/t
	Regions: Attica (IG I-III) : Attica
	IG II ² 65 ← IG II ² 64 IG II ² 66 →
	Att non-stoid - init. s. IV a. 400-350 BCE
	See also: IG I ³ 227.
1	[Προξενία καὶ εὐεργεσία]
1	[Ά]θηναίω[ν — — — καὶ]
	[ἐκγόν]οις τοῖς τ[ούτου].
	{ ² vacat 0.03} ²
4	[Χαρικλ?]είδο Γ[]
5	[προξέ]νο καὶ [εὐεργέτο] [Ἐρχο]μενίο.

https://inscriptions.packhum.org/text/2283

data generation

Inscription ID

Geographical metadata

- 84 regions

Chronological metadata

- referring to historical eras & intervals in several languages
- lacking in standardized notation
 ("early", "first half", "1st half", "beginning", "beg.")
- fuzzy wording ("late 7th/6th c. BC", "ca. 100 a.?", "bef. 64 AD")

Ancient Greek text

- noisy, non-standard human annotations / markup
- epigraphers annotate missing characters with "-"

••• ~>-C	
	Regions Attica (IG I-III) : Attica IG II ² 65 ← IG II ² 64 IG II ² 66 →
	Att non-stoich init. s. IV a. 400-350 BCE See also: IG I ³ 227.
1 	[Προξενία καὶ εὐεργεσία] [Ἀ]θηναίω[ν — — — καὶ] [ἐκγόν]οις τοῖς τ[ούτου]. {²vacat 0.03}² [Χαρικλ?]είδο Γ[- — -] [προξέ]νο καὶ [εὐεργέτο] [Ἐρχο]μενίο.

https://inscriptions.packhum.org/text/2283

modern datasets

Dataset	Quantity (tokens)
Common Crawl (filtered)	410 billion
WebText2	19 billion
Books1	12 billion
Books2	55 billion
Wikipedia	3 billion



modern datasets

Dataset	Quantity (tokens)
Common Crawl (filtered)	410 billion
WebText2	19 billion
Books1	12 billion
Books2	55 billion
Wikipedia	3 billion



ancient datasets (PHI)

Split	Inscriptions	Words	Chars
Train	34,952	2,792k	16,300k
Valid	2,826	211k	1,230k
Test	2,949	223k	1,298k

Table 1: Statistics for the PHI-ML corpus.

3,226,000 words =

8,065 A4 pages =

40 cm thick book

experiments over a few weeks



Restoration accuracy of Ancient Greek and Latin texts (higher is better)



data augmentation



ithaca

how to do it?

Collaboration

"If you only build for yourself, you have the best intuition. But if you don't build for others too, you'll hit a ceiling." - Prof. Charles Isbell

Explainable

Visual aids to guide intuition

Synergy - not substitution

Show collaborative potential

Accessibility

Enable wider access and facilitate further research (FAIR principles, Open Access)

Scalability

How can we contribute at scale?



Ithaca - a neural network model



interpretable outputs

Providing multiple restoration hypotheses (*IG* II² 116, Athens 361/0 BCE)



Inputs that affect Ithaca's restorations (saliency map)

	"µ":	θεοι	επι	νικοφημο	αρχοντος	µ <mark>ıa</mark>	αθηναιων	και	θετταλων	εις	τον	αει	χρονον
	"χ":	θεοι	επι	νικοφημο	αρχοντος	<mark>µ</mark> -xıa	αθηναιων	και	θετταλων	εις	τον	αει	χρονον
restored	"U":	θεοι	επι	νικοφημο	αρχοντος	-υ- <mark>μ</mark> -χια	αθηναιων	και	θετταλων	εις	τον	αει	χρονον
(per step)	"σ":	θεοι	επι	νικοφημο	αρχοντος	σ <mark>υ-μ</mark> -χια	αθηναιων	και	θετταλων	εις	τον	αει	χρονον
	"µ":	θεοι	επι	νικοφημο	αρχοντος	σ <mark>υμμ</mark> -χια	αθηναιων	και	θετταλων	εις	τον	αει	χρονον
	"α":	θεοι	επι	νικοφημο	αρχοντος	συμ <mark>μ</mark> αχια	αθηναιων	και	θετταλων	εις	τον	αει	χρονον

6

"Gods. In the archonship of Nikophemos. Alliance of the Athenians and Thessalians for all time."

interpretable outputs

Geographical attribution (*IG* XII 7, 2, Amorgos 400–300 BCE)



Chronological attribution (*IG* XI 4, 579, Delos 300–250 BCE)



interpretable outputs

Saliency maps for chronological attribution (*IG* I³ 371, Athens 414–413 BCE)

δε ες σικελιαν εγον τα χρεματα στρατεγοις νικιαι κυδαντιδει και χσυναρχοσι

- Ithaca focuses on the personal name (Νικίας, "Nikias") and the Greek commanders' rank (στρατεγοῖς, "generals").
- Ithaca dates the inscription to 413 BCE, matching the exact range proposed by historians (414–413 BCE).



evaluation

Experimental evaluation

Restoration

• The pairing of Ithaca with an epigrapher results in a 3-fold improvement in Top-1 prediction.

			Restoratio	n	Reg	Date	
	Method	CER↓	Top-1↑	Top-20↑	Top−1个	Тор-3↑	Years↓
Our model	Ancient Historian & Ithaca	18.3%	71.7%				
	Ithaca	26.3%	61.8%	78.3%	70.8%	82.1%	29.3
Prior work	Pythia	47.0%	32.6%	53.9%			
	Ancient Historian*	59.6%	25.3%				
Humans	Onomastics				21.2%	26.5%	144.4

Experimental evaluation

Restoration

• The pairing of Ithaca with an epigrapher results in a 3-fold improvement in Top-1 prediction.

Geographical attribution

• Ithaca predicts 84 regions with 82% Top-3 accuracy.

		Restoration			Regi	Date	
	Method	CER↓	Top-1↑	Top-20↑	Top-1个	Top-3↑	Years↓
Our model	Ancient Historian & Ithaca	18.3%	71.7%				
Ourmoder	Ithaca	26.3%	61.8%	78.3%	70.8%	82.1%	29.3
Prior work	Pythia	47.0%	32.6%	53.9%			
Humans	Ancient Historian*	59.6%	25.3%				
	Onomastics				21.2%	26.5%	144.4

Experimental evaluation

Restoration

• The pairing of Ithaca with an epigrapher results in a 3-fold improvement in Top-1 prediction.

Geographical attribution

• Ithaca predicts 84 regions with 82% Top-3 accuracy.

Chronological attribution

• Ithaca predicts dates within a 29.3 year average (3 year median).

			Restoratio	n	Reg	Date	
	Method	CER↓	Top-1个	Top-20↑	Top-1个	Top-3↑	Years↓
Our model	Ancient Historian & Ithaca	18.3%	71.7%				
	Ithaca	26.3%	61.8%	78.3%	70.8%	82.1%	29.3
Prior work	Pythia	47.0%	32.6%	53.9%			
Humans	Ancient Historian*	59.6%	25.3%				
	Onomastics				21.2%	26.5%	144.4

S

Redating history

- Exploiting the unprecedented size of our dataset, Ithaca is more accurate than the PHI ground-truths (5 years vs 27 years distance).
- Predictions **independently align** with recent breakthroughs concerning the dating of influential political inscriptions.
- Ithaca is contributes to key methodological debates in Ancient History.



Ithaca's predictions vs PHI ground-truths compared to modern historical re-evaluations.

intermission

cybersecurity Gemini evaluations

C/C++

diff --git a/arch/powerpc/kernel/traps.c b/arch/powerpc/kernel/traps.c index d9f10f2fc372..5ed4c2ceb5ca 100644

--- a/arch/powerpc/kernel/traps.c

```
+++ b/arch/powerpc/kernel/traps.c
```

@@ -900,14 +900,13 @@ void kernel_fp_unavailable_exception(struct pt_regs *regs)

void altivec_unavailable_exception(struct pt_regs *regs)

```
{
```

-#endif +

-#if !defined(CONFIG_ALTIVEC)

if (user_mode(regs)) {

```
/* A user program has executed an altivec instruction,
    but this kernel doesn't support altivec. */
_exception(SIGILL, regs, ILL_ILLOPC, regs->nip);
return;
```

Prec. (%) Recall (%) F1 (%) AUC Task Model Acc. (%) Wang et al. (2019) Ultra 1.0 75.5 ± 2.6 0.820 ± 0.018 74.0 + 2.0 70.9 ± 4.1 73.1 ± 2.7 Patch Classification Pro 1.0 66.0 ± 2.8 $81.9 \pm 5.5 \quad 40.9 \pm 4.2$ 54.5 ± 4.7 0.735 ± 0.030 SPI Ultra 1.0 58.5 ± 2.2 58.9 ± 3.7 57.2 ± 4.0 57.9 ± 2.2 0.605 ± 0.023 Patch Classification Pro 1.0 52.6 ± 3.0 52.3 ± 3.5 55.3 ± 4.7 53.7 ± 3.7 0.530 ± 0.031 30.8 ± 3.7 DiverseVul Ultra 1.0 53.8 ± 2.4 57.3 ± 6.9 39.9 ± 4.0 0.581 ± 0.046 Function Classif. 51.6 ± 3.5 52.3 ± 7.1 42.3 ± 3.1 46.7 ± 4.3 0.533 ± 0.051 Pro 1.0

Table 9 | Cybersecurity: Vulnerability detection evaluation metrics.⁸

> non-security patch security patch

[Phuong et al., 2024]



DNA is a sequence of "words"



[Z Avsec, V Agarwal, D Visentin, J R Ledsam, A Grabska-Barwinska, K R Taylor, Y Assael, J Jumper, P Kohli, D R Kelley, 2021]

conclusion

ithaca.deepmind.com is for all researchers



ithaca.deepmind.com is for all researchers



ithaca's adoption



Our students learn in the classroom how to put these AI tools into practice,

ithaca's adoption

- Schools using ithaca
 - More than 80 teachers in Europe are Ο using Ithaca in the class
- **Researchers using Ithaca**
 - Hekatompedon inscription Ο
 - More than 330 new jobs are Ο submitted per week



PART II: GET STARTED WITH ITHACA

When we get started with the AI model fore you can get started with the AI mod-Ithaca, we use a Notebook. That is a file el. However, it is important that you have: where HTML Markdown, Python code, images, text ... can be used interchangeably. o a computer with internet browser; More important: the code is ready for you! o a stable internet connection: o a Google or Gmail account. So you don't have to immerse yourself in

a course on "programming in Python" be-

STEP 1: INSTALL

First, the AI model, associated libraries and packages must be downloaded and installed in the Notebook. You will have to Installatie van Ithaca-onderdeler perform this step every time you use this Notebook again. The reason is: after each session, the underlying system deletes all Klik eenmaal op de play-knop 🛃 hier links You launch the installation by pressing

STEP 2: TEXT INPUT

In the next step we need to enter our text fragment. When doing this, be sure to use the Greek keyboard and omit capital oer hieronder jouw tekst in. letters or punctuation. Place a question mark on the missing characters. When it tekst: "ωρανια. αστ?ρας ηρε?νη is fully typed you can load it into the Al model by pressing the D-button. ngevoerd? Klik eenmaal op de play-kn

STEP 3: OUTPUT

Once your text is entered, the Ithaca model will be able to calculate three things: 1) 20 hypotheses to recover the fragment. 2) situate the text in time, and 3) situate the text in space. (VII)

οἴγω, and in our decree, ἀνοίγω appears in section 6): and δύνεν from $\delta \dot{\upsilon}(v)\omega$ (to enter). We found this option by entering the clause in the 'PYTHIA' model, which offers possible restorations of Greek text based on artificial intelligence (Assael, Sommerschield, Prag

Ithaca for Humanities

- Ithaca's wider appeal:
 - All disciplines dealing with ancient texts (philology, papyrology, codicology, ...)
 - Any language (ancient or modern)
- Identification and study of newly discovered / forged artefacts.
- The transformational impact of this work lies in delivering state-of-the-art research aids from the Sciences which extend the scope of the Humanities.









AI for Humanities

- Increasing number of publications per year.
- Map the interdisciplinary fields.
- Inspire future research.
- Al for the ancient world: focus on collaboration, linguistic diversity, decision-support, interpretability, human-in-the-loop.

Joint efforts of specialists in both the Sciences and the Humanities is key to producing relevant, robust and cogent scholarship.



Returning to Ithaca

- Our work hearkens back to Nature's interdisciplinary tradition of scientific communication between Science (Darwin, Einstein and Hawking) and Antiquity (Schliemann).
- Research like Ithaca can unlock the cooperative potential between AI and historians,
- Delivering research aids that extend the scope of ancient history and the Humanities.

NATURE

[Oct. 3, 1878

THE ANCIENT CAPITAL OF ITHACA

I N a recent letter to the *Times* Dr. Schliemann describes his search for the ancient capital of the island of Ithaca. He began his researches in the valley called





